UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10/560,220 | 12/09/2005 | Yun Kyung Lee | CU-4590 WWP | 2686 |

26530 7590 12/24/2008

LADAS & PARRY LLP
224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE
SUITE 1600
CHICAGO, IL 60604

| EXAMINER | |
|---|---|
| SIMS, JING F | |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|---|---|
| 4148 | |

| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|---|---|
| 12/24/2008 | PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/560,220 | LEE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JING SIMS | 4148 | |

*-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --*

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *23 October 2008*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-16* is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) *1, 3, 5, 9, and 11* is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: application 10/560,020 filed on December 9th, 2005; amendment filed on October 23rd, 2008.

2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 9, and 11 are amended.

3. Applicant's arguments, with respect to claims 1-12, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 9 and 11 recites the limitation "a first M/m input data" and "a second M/m input data" in the last 2 lines of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For example, if "a first M/m input data" means to be the lower 64-bit data, and "a second M/m input data" means to be the upper 64-bit data", then the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to the lower 64-bit data, which does not simultaneously process the upper 64-bit data.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. **Claims 1-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (US 2002/0131588), in view of Lee et al. (US Application Publication US 2005/0135607 A1) (hereinafter Lee).

As per claim 1, Yang discloses "A rijndael block encryption apparatus having M-bit input data and N-bit input keys" (page 1, column 2, paragraph 0010, "an apparatus for encrypting/decrypting a real-time input stream". With respect to the limitations of input data and input keys, in Fig. 1 Data_in [7:0] appears to be the input data and Key_data [128,192,256] appear to be the inputs keys) "and encrypting the M-bit input data by repeating for a predetermined number of times a round operation" (page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, with respect to this limitation, Yang discloses "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "that includes transforms of shift_row, substitution, mixcolumn and add-round-key" (Fig. 4 discloses "Shifter (Shift_row)", "Data conversion unit (Byte_sub)", "Mixer (Mix_colm)", and "Key mixer (Add_round_key)) "the apparatus comprising: a round operation unit including a round operation execution unit for processing the data at least in the transforms of substitution, mixcolumn and add-round-key" (page 3,

column 2, paragraph 0048, "Fig. 4 illustrates a detailed block diagram of an encryption unit of 'the block round unit' 203 in Fig. 2". Fig. 4 illustrates the transforms of "Shifter (Shift_row)", "Data conversion unit (Byte_sub)", "Mixer (Mix_colm)", and "Key mixer (Add_round_key)) "and a round key generation unit for generating round keys in order to provide the round keys in the transform of the add-round-key; a round operation control unit for controlling the round operation performed by the round operation unit" (page 1, paragraph 0013, "a key schedule unit carrying out a key schedule every round in accordance with a size and a key value of a block inputted from outside so as to output a key value for the encryption or decryption each round") "and a data storage unit for storing M-bit data generated at an end stage of every round." (Page 4, column 1, paragraph 0057, "an output buffer 603 receiving the encrypted or decrypted data Out_block [127:0]").

However, Yang fails to disclose "processing the data in the unit of M/m bits (where m is 2, 3 or 4)", and "a data storage unit for storing M/m-bit intermediate data generated by the round operation unit at an intermediate stage of every round, wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round".

Lee discloses "processing the data in the unit of M/m bits (where m is 2, 3 or 4)" (page 2, [0022], simultaneously executing data input and data processing through parallel-processing AES rounds using a plurality of input data handling routines; [0023], receiving a plurality of divided part of an input data) and "storing M/m-bit intermediate

data generated by the round operation unit at an intermediate stage of every round" (Fig. 4 and page 4, [0054], the first to fourth registers 104 to 404 are input with the output data from the first round key adder 339; Fig. 3, page 3, [0048], the register stores the data for a predetermined time, performs shift operation, and input data to the first selector, thus executing second round operations), "wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round" (page 4, [0064], the encryption and decryption apparatus has two separate operation routines, and each operation routine are independently operated. Accordingly, the operations of each round are performed in parallel following the two separate routines. Table 1, round 2, cycle 4, the Second round key adder adds the round key RKey B to the second divided part of the input data simultaneously during the First byte substitution part and/or First column mixer process the first divided part of the input data before the end stage of every regular round).

Yang and Lee are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of performing Rijndael block cipher for encryption and decryption.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teaching of Yang to use Rijndael cipher encrypting and decrypting input data by performing the operations of each round in parallel that taught by Lee because it would provide rapidly perform an encryption and decryption (see Lee page 1, [0003]).

As per claim 2, Yang discloses "the apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the data storage unit includes at least one register, and a total summed size of the register is equal to or larger than M (2m-1)/m bits" (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, registers are intermediate storage units, therefore, the Examiner considers unit 500 in Fig. 5 is a storage unit, unit 603 in Fig. 6 is the other storage unit. There are two set of storage units, which includes four individual registers in unit 500 in Fig. 5 of total 508 bits - 127 bit multiplies 4, plus the "out_buffer" storage in Fig. 6 of 127 bit. The total summed sized of the register is 635 bit. As applicant states in claim 1 "where m is 2, 3, or 4", if m is 2, M the input data in Yang is 127 bit, then M(2m-1)/m is 127(2*2-1)/2 that equals 190.5 bits. The total summed sized of the registers in Yang of 635 bit is large than the 190.5 bit).

As per claim 3, Yang discloses "A rijndael block decryption apparatus having M-bit input data and N-bit input keys" (page 1, column 2, paragraph 0010, "an apparatus for encrypting/decrypting a real-time input stream" "by constructing Rijndael algorithm selected as AES algorithm with hardware". With respect to the limitations of input data and input keys, in Fig. 1 Data_in [7:0] appears to be the input data and Key_data [128,192,256] appear to be the inputs keys) "and decrypting the M-bit input data by repeating for a predetermined number of times a round operation" (page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, with respect to this limitation, Yang discloses "decrypting" by "finding the key for encryption or decryption". Yang also discloses "if the block size is 128bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "that includes transforms of inverse shift_row, inverse substitution, add-round-key and

inverse mixcolumn" (Fig. 5 discloses transforms of "I_shift_row", "I_byte_sub", "Add_round_key", and "I_mix_colm") "the apparatus comprising: a round operation unit including a round operation execution unit for processing the data at least in the transforms of inverse substitution, add-round-key and inverse mixcolumn" (page 3, column 2, paragraph 0048, "Fig. 4 illustrates a detailed block diagram of an encryption unit of 'the block round unit' 203 in Fig. 2". Fig. 4 illustrates the transforms of "Shifter (Shift_row)", "Data conversion unit (Byte_sub)", "Mixer (Mix_colm)", and "Key mixer (Add_round_key)). Yang also discloses "if the decryption is being carried out, the encryption in Fig. 4 is carried out in reverse. The reverse process is shown in Fig. 5") "and a round key generation unit for generating round keys in order to provide the round keys in the transform of add-round-key; a round operation control unit for controlling the round operation performed by the round operation unit" (page 1, paragraph 0013, "a key schedule unit carrying out a key schedule every round in accordance with a size and a key value of a block inputted from outside so as to output a key value for the encryption or decryption each round") "and a data storage unit for storing M-bit data generated at an end stage of every round" (the rejection of the corresponding section in claim 1 also applies here in claim 2).

However, Yang fails to disclose "processing the data in the unit of M/m bits (where m is 2, 3 or 4)", and "a data storage unit for storing M/m-bit intermediate data generated by the round operation unit at an intermediate stage of every round, wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m

input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round".

Lee discloses "processing the data in the unit of M/m bits (where m is 2, 3 or 4)" (page 2, [0022], simultaneously executing data input and data processing through parallel-processing AES rounds using a plurality of input data handling routines; [0023], receiving a plurality of divided part of an input data) and "storing M/m-bit intermediate data generated by the round operation unit at an intermediate stage of every round" (Fig. 4 and page 4, [0054], the first to fourth registers 104 to 404 are input with the output data from the first round key adder 339; Fig. 3, page 3, [0048], the register stores the data for a predetermined time, performs shift operation, and input data to the first selector, thus executing second round operations), "wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round" (page 4, [0064], the encryption and decryption apparatus has two separate operation routines, and each operation routine are independently operated. Accordingly, the operations of each round are performed in parallel following the two separate routines. Table 1, round 2, cycle 4, the Second round key adder adds the round key RKey B to the second divided part of the input data simultaneously during the First byte substitution part and/or First column mixer process the first divided part of the input data before the end stage of every regular round).

Yang and Lee are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of performing Rijndael block cipher for encryption and decryption.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teaching of Yang to use Rijndael cipher encrypting and decrypting input data by performing the operations of each round in parallel that taught by Lee because it would provide rapidly perform an encryption and decryption (see Lee page 1, [0003]).

As per claim 4, Yang discloses "the apparatus as claimed in claim 3, wherein the data storage unit includes at least one register, and a total summed size of the register is equal to or larger than M (2m-1)/m bits" (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, registers are intermediate storage units, therefore, there are four registers in Fig. 5 of total 508 bits - 127 bit multiplies 4, plus the "out_buffer" storage in Fig. 6 of 127 bit. The total summed sized of the register is 635 bit. As applicant states in claim 1 "where m is 2, 3, or 4", if m is 2, M the input data in Yang is 127 bit, then M(2m-1)/m is 127(2*2-1)/2 that equals 190.5 bits. The total summed sized of the registers in Yang of 635 bit is large than the 190.5 bit).

As per claim 5, Yang discloses "A rijndael block encryption apparatus having M-bit input data and N-bit input keys" (page 1, column 2, paragraph 0010, "an apparatus for encrypting/decrypting a real-time input stream" "by constructing Rijndael algorithm selected as AES algorithm with hardware". With respect to the limitations of input data and input keys, in Fig. 1 Data_in [7:0] appears to be the input data and Key_data [128,192,256] appear to be the inputs keys) "and encrypting the M-bit input data by repeating for a predetermined number of times a round operation for encryption" (page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, with respect to this limitation, Yang discloses "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'"

"if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "that includes transforms of shift_row, substitution, mixcolumn and add-round-key" (Fig. 4 discloses "Shifter (Shift_row)", "Data conversion unit (Byte_sub)", "Mixer (Mix_colm)", and "Key mixer (Add_round_key)) "or decrypting the M-bit input data by repeating for a predetermined number of times a round operation" (page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, with respect to this limitation, Yang discloses "decrypting" by "finding the key for encryption or decryption". Yang also discloses "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "for decryption that includes transforms of inverse shift_row, inverse substitution, add-round-key and inverse mixcolumn" (page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, with respect to this limitation, Yang discloses "decrypting" by "finding the key for encryption or decryption". Yang also discloses "if the block size is 128bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "the apparatus comprising: a round operation unit including a round operation execution unit for processing the data at least in the transforms of substitution, mixcolumn and add-round-key in an encryption mode" (Fig. 4, the block round unit serves the same function as round operation unit. It includes at least substitution which in instant application "data conversion unit(byte_sub)", mixcolumn which in instant application "mixer", and add-round-key which in instant application "key mixer") "and for processing the data at least in the transforms of inverse substitution, add-round-key and inverse mixcolumn in a

decryption mode" (Fig. 5, the block round unit includes at least inverse substitution which in instant application "data conversion unit(I_byte_sub)", add-round-key which in instant application "key mixer", and mixcolumn which in instant application "inverse mixer") "and a round key generation unit for generating round keys in order to provide the round keys in the transform of add-round-key; a round operation control unit for controlling the round operation performed by the round operation unit" (page 1, paragraph 0013, "a key schedule unit carrying out a key schedule every round in accordance with a size and a key value of a block inputted from outside so as to output a key value for the encryption or decryption each round"); "and a data storage unit for storing M-bit data generated at an end stage of every round" (page 4, column 1, paragraph 0057, "an output buffer 603 receiving the encrypted or decrypted data Out_block[127:0]").

However, Yang fails to disclose "processing the data in the unit of M/m bits (where m is 2, 3 or 4)", and "a data storage unit for storing M/m-bit intermediate data generated by the round operation unit at an intermediate stage of every round, wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round".

Lee discloses "processing the data in the unit of M/m bits (where m is 2, 3 or 4)" (page 2, [0022], simultaneously executing data input and data processing through parallel-processing AES rounds using a plurality of input data handling routines; [0023], receiving a plurality of divided part of an input data) and "storing M/m-bit intermediate

data generated by the round operation unit at an intermediate stage of every round" (Fig. 4 and page 4, [0054], the first to fourth registers 104 to 404 are input with the output data from the first round key adder 339; Fig. 3, page 3, [0048], the register stores the data for a predetermined time, performs shift operation, and input data to the first selector, thus executing second round operations), "wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round" (page 4, [0064], the encryption and decryption apparatus has two separate operation routines, and each operation routine are independently operated. Accordingly, the operations of each round are performed in parallel following the two separate routines. Table 1, round 2, cycle 4, the Second round key adder adds the round key RKey B to the second divided part of the input data simultaneously during the First byte substitution part and/or First column mixer process the first divided part of the input data before the end stage of every regular round).

Yang and Lee are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of performing Rijndael block cipher for encryption and decryption.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teaching of Yang to use Rijndael cipher encrypting and decrypting input data by performing the operations of each round in parallel that taught by Lee because it would provide rapidly perform an encryption and decryption (see Lee page 1, [0003]).

As per claim 6, Yang discloses "the apparatus as claimed in claim 5, wherein the round operation execution unit comprises:" (page 3, paragraph 0048, paragraph 0053, "Fig. 4 illustrates a detailed block diagram of an encryption unit of the block round unit 203 in Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 illustrates a detailed block diagram of a decryption unit 500 of the block round unit in Fig. 2" and "if the decryption is being carried out, the encryption in Fig. 4 is carried out is in reverse") "a shift/inverse-shift_row operation means for performing the shift_row operation and the inverse shift_row operation of the data;" (Fig. 4, Fig. 5, "shifter(shift_row)" in Fig. 4 and "Inverse shift(I_shift_row)" in Fig. 5) "a substitution/inverse-substitution operation means for performing the substitution operation and the inverse substitution operation of the data" (Fig. 4, Fig. 5, "Data conversion unit (byte_sub)" in Fig. 4 and "data conversion unit (I_byte_sub)" in Fig. 5) "a mixcolumn/inverse-mixcolumn operation means for performing the mixcolumn operation and the inverse mixcolumn operation of the data" (Fig. 4, Fig. 5, "Mixer(Mix_colm) in Fig. 4, and "Inverse mixer(I_mix_colm)" in Fig. 5) "and an add-round-key operation means for performing the add-round-key operation of the data" (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, "Key Mixer(Add_round_key)" in Fig. 4 and "Key mixer (Add_round_key)" in Fig. 5)

As per claim 7, Yang discloses "the apparatus as claimed in claim 6, wherein the round operation execution unit farther comprises a plurality of demultiplexing means for controlling a flow of the data among the substitution/inverse-substitution operation means, the mixcolumn/inverse-mixcolumn operation means and the add-round-key operation means so as to perform the round operation for the encryption or the round operation for the decryption according to an input of a mode signal that indicates the

encryption or decryption mode" (Fig. 1 or Fig. 2, paragraph 0036, "signals inputted to the block round unit 203 include wsel[1:0] informing a size of a key value, Encrypt_en signal informing whether to be encrypt or decrypt").

As per claim 8, Yang discloses "the apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 5 to 7, wherein the data storage unit includes at least one register, and a total summed size of the register is equal to or larger than M (2m-1)/m bits" (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, registers are intermediate storage units, therefore, there are four registers in Fig. 5 of total 508 bits - 127 bit multiplies 4, plus the "out_buffer" storage in Fig. 6 of 127 bit. The total summed sized of the register is 635 bit. As applicant states in claim 1 "where m is 2, 3, or 4", if m is 2, M the input data in Yang is 127 bit, then M(2m-1)/m is 127(2*2-1)/2 that equals 190.5 bits. The total summed sized of the registers in Yang of 635 bit is large than the 190.5 bit).

10. **Claims 9-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (US 2002/0131588), in view of Roussel et al. (US patent, US 6,230,257 B1) (hereinafter Roussel), and further in view of Lee et al. (US Application Publication US 2005/0135607 A1) (hereinafter Lee).

As per claim 9, Yang discloses "a rijndael block encryption method for receiving M-bit input data and N-bit input keys and performing a round operation of the input data for a predetermined number of times, the method comprising:" (page 1, column 2, paragraph 0010, "an apparatus for encrypting/decrypting a real-time input stream" "by constructing Rijndael algorithm selected as AES algorithm with hardware". With respect to the limitations of input data and input keys, in Fig. 1 Data_in [7:0] appears to be the

input data and Key_data [128,192,256] appear to be the inputs keys. On page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, with respect to predetermined number of times, Yang also discloses "if the block size is 128bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "a round operation step of performing a round operation with respect to all m data of M/n bits" (page 3, column 1, paragraph 0043, Yang discloses "if the block size is 128bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'. It shows the relation between the number of round for performing round operation and the input data size in Rijndael cipher in the above paragraph; therefore, a round operation stop with respect to input data 'M' bit or 'M/n' bit (if M/n equals to M. The claim indicates m data belong to M/n, then a round operation stop with respect to all m as well.) "The round operation including sub-steps of a shift_row transform for performing a shift_row of the M-bit data from a previous round" (Fig. 2, and Fig. 4, "the block round unit" in Fig. 2 includes a "shifter (Shift_row)" in Fig. 4 to perform a shift_row of 128 bits [127:0] input data from previous round and outputting data to next transform) "a substitution transform for performing a substitution data, a mixcolumn transform for performing a mixcolumn of data" (Fig. 4, "Data conversion unit (Byte_sub)" to perform a substitution data, "Mixer (Mix_colm)" to perform a mixcolumn data) "and an add-round-key transform for performing an addition of round" (it is a known for one skilled in the art at the invention time that to repeating either one specific or plurality additional transform steps when to perform a round

operation in Rijndael block cipher system during the encryption transformation) "and a round key generation step of generating the round keys in order to provide the round keys at the sub-step of the add-round-key transform" (Fig. 2, and page 3, paragraph 0039-0047, "the Key schedule unit"[reference number 202 in Fig. 2] "find a key for encrypting or decrypting each round so as to output the found key to the block round unit 203").

However, Yang fails to disclose "a shift_row transform outputting only M/m-bit (where m is 2, 3 and 4) data corresponding to a selection signal to a next step", "a substitution transform performing of the M/m-bit data and mixcolumn transform performing of the M/m-bit data" and "keys having the same size to the M/m-bit data" and "wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round".

Roussel discloses "outputting only M/m-bit (where m is 2, 3 and 4) data corresponding to a selection signal to a next step" (column 7, line 53-63, "execution units 130 and 140 generate output data as two half width data segments". "Two half width data segments" means the width of input data M divided by 2 where m is 2. "Low order data is output at an OUTLO terminal. High order data is output one clock cycle later at an OUTHI terminal. The low and high order output data propagate through separate drivers 330 and 340 to the low and high local bypass buses 310 and 320 respectively" serves the function of "selection signal to a next step"). Roussel also discloses "a substitution transform performing of the M/m-bit data and mixcolumn

transform performing of the M/m-bit data" and "keys having the same size to the M/m-bit data" " (these two limitations limit same thing which is to divide the width of the input data to sub sets to reduce the size hardware. With respect to this limitation, Roussel discloses "processing 128-bit instructions using existing 64-bit hardware systems without significant changes to the hardware" (column 12, line 1-9)).

Lee discloses "wherein the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round" (page 4, [0064], the encryption and decryption apparatus has two separate operation routines, and each operation routine are independently operated. Accordingly, the operations of each round are performed in parallel following the two separate routines. Table 1, round 2, cycle 4, the Second round key adder adds the round key RKey B to the second divided part of the input data simultaneously during the First byte substitution part and/or First column mixer process the first divided part of the input data before the end stage of every regular round).

Yang, Roussel, and Lee are analogous art because they are in the same field of utilizing existing hardware to design circuits for an apparatus.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teaching of Yang and Lee to use Rijndael block cipher algorithm encrypting/decrypting information that described by Yang, and apply "staggering execution of a single packed data instruction using the same circuit" from Roussel to utilize the existing hardware resource, reduce the size and cost of hardware.

As per claim 10, Yang in view of Roussel disclose claim 9 and "wherein the data can be processed through the steps of the shift_row transform, the substitution transform, the mixcolumn transform and the add-round-key transform, respectively" (see Yang, Fig. 4 discloses Shift (Shift_row), Data conversion unit (Byte_sub), Mixer (Mix_colm), and Key Mixer (Add_round_key)) "the data having the size of M/m bits" (see Roussel, column 12, line 1-9, "processing 128-bit instructions using existing 64-bit hardware systems without significant changes to the hardware) and "a plurality of the M/m-bit data can be processed through the plural steps selected among the four steps at the same time according to a predetermined timing" (see Roussel, Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B, Fig. 4A discloses a plurality of the input data "M" with the width of 128 bits is divided by two of the 64 bits data after ports 1-3. Fig. 4B shows that at same time T, plural steps, to be exact two steps, have been processed. Four steps have been processed at time T+1 etc).

As per claim 11, Yang discloses "A rijndael block decryption method for receiving M-bit input data and N-bit input keys and performing a round operation of the input data for a predetermined number of times, the method comprising:" (page 1, column 2, paragraph 0010, "an apparatus for encrypting/decrypting a real-time input stream" "by constructing Rijndael algorithm selected as AES algorithm with hardware". With respect to the limitations of input data and input keys, in Fig. 1 Data_in [7:0] appears to be the input data and Key_data [128,192,256] appear to be the inputs keys. On page 3, paragraph 0043, with respect to the limitation "predetermined number of times", Yang discloses "if the block size is 128bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of

rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'") "A round operation step of performing a round operation with respect to all m data of M/n bits" (page 3, paragraph 0043, Yang discloses "if the block size is 128bits and a size of the key value is 256 bits, a count of rounds becomes '14'" "if the block size is 128 bits and a size of the key value is 128 bits, a count of rounds becomes '10'. It shows the relation between the number of round for performing round operation and the input data size in Rijndael cipher in the above paragraph; therefore, a round operation stop with respect to input data 'M' bit or 'M/n' bit (if M/n equals to M. The claim indicates m data belong to M/n, then a round operation stop with respect to all m as well.) "the round operation including sub-steps of an inverse shift_row transform for performing an inverse shift_row of the M-bit data from a previous round and outputting data" (Fig. 5, "Inverse shifter (I_shift_row)to perform an inverse shift row of 128 bits [127:0] data and outputting to next transform) "an inverse substitution transform for performing an inverse substitution inverse-shift_row-transformed data" (Fig. 5, Data conversion unit (I_byte_sub) to perform an inverse substitute on the output data of Inverse shifter (I_shift_row)) "an add-round-key transform for performing an addition of round keys having the same size to inverse-substitution-transformed data, respectively, " (Fig. 5, Key mixer (Add_round_key) to perform a add round key transform. Fig. 5 discloses both Add_round_key and I_byte_sub have the same size data of 128 bits [127:0]. The decryption transformation order of I-shift_row, I_byte_sub, and Add_round_key in Fig. 5 respects to the corresponding encryption order in Fig. 4) "and an inverse mixcolumn transform for

performing an inverse mixcolumn add-round-key-transformed data" (Fig. 5, Inverse mixer (I_mix_colm) to perform inverse mix column on Add_round_key data) "and a round key generation step of generating the round keys in order to provide the round keys at the sub-step of the add-round-key transform". (Fig. 2, and page 3, paragraph 0039-0047, "the Key schedule unit"[reference number 202 in Fig. 2] "find a key for encrypting or decrypting each round so as to output the found key to the block round unit 203").

Yang fails to disclose "an inverse shift_row transform outputting only M/m-bit (where m is 2, 3 and 4) data corresponding to a selection signal to a next step", "for performing an inverse substitution of the M/m-bit data" "round keys having the same size to the M/m-bit inverse-substitution-transformed data" and "an inverse mixcolumn of the M/m-bit add-round-key-transformed data".

However, Roussel discloses "outputting only M/m-bit (where m is 2, 3 and 4) data corresponding to a selection signal to a next step" (column 7, line 53-63, "execution units 130 and 140 generate output data as two half width data segments". "Two half width data segments" means the width of input data M divided by 2 where m is 2. "Low order data is output at an OUTLO terminal. High order data is output one clock cycle later at an OUTHI terminal. The low and high order output data propagate through separate drivers 330 and 340 to the low and high local bypass buses 310 and 320 respectively" serves the function of "selection signal to a next step"). Roussel also discloses "for performing an inverse substitution of the M/m-bit data" "round keys having the same size to the M/m-bit inverse-substitution-transformed data" and "an inverse

mixcolumn of the M/m-bit add-round-key-transformed data" (the three limitations limit same thing which is to divide the width of the input data to sub sets to reduce the size hardware. With respect to this limitation, Roussel discloses "processing 128-bit instructions using existing 64-bit hardware systems without significant changes to the hardware" (see column 12, line 1-9)).

Lee discloses "the round keys generated in the add-round-key generation unit is added to a first M/m input data simultaneously during the processing of a second M/m input data of the round execution unit before the end stage of every round" (page 4, [0064], the encryption and decryption apparatus has two separate operation routines, and each operation routine are independently operated. Accordingly, the operations of each round are performed in parallel following the two separate routines. Table 1, round 2, cycle 4, the Second round key adder adds the round key RKey B to the second divided part of the input data simultaneously during the First byte substitution part and/or First column mixer process the first divided part of the input data before the end stage of every regular round).

Yang, Roussel, and Lee are analogous art because they are in the same field of utilizing existing hardware to design circuits for an apparatus.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teaching of Yang and Lee to use Rijndael block cipher algorithm encrypting/decrypting information that described by Yang, and apply "staggering execution of a single packed data instruction using the same circuit" from Roussel to utilize the existing hardware resource, reduce the size and cost of hardware.

As per claim 12, Yang in view of Roussel disclose claim 11, and "wherein the data can be processed through the steps of the inverse shift_row transform, the inverse substitution transform, the add-round-key transform and the inverse mixcolumn transform, respectively" (see Yang, Fig. 5 discloses transforms of data through "I_shift_row", "I_byte_sub", "Add_round_key", and "I_mix_colm"); "the data having the size of M/m bits" (see Roussel, column 12, line 1-9, "processing 128-bit instructions using existing 64-bit hardware systems without significant changes to the hardware) and "a plurality of the M/m-bit data can be processed through the plural steps selected among the four steps at the same time according to a predetermined timing" (see Roussel, Fig. 4A and Fig. 4B, Fig. 4A discloses a plurality of the input data "M" with the width of 128 bits is divided by two of the 64 bits data after ports 1-3. Fig. 4B shows that at same time T, plural steps, to be exact two steps, have been processed. Four steps have been processed at time T+1 etc).

***Response to Arguments***

11. On page 15 of the Applicants' Response, the Applicants argue that Yang and/or Roussel, alone or in combination, teach or suggest amended claim 1 of the invention.

12. For the amended paragraph of claim 1, Lee teaches all the limitation in the claim. Please refer to the 103 rejection to claim 1 above.

13. The Applicants also argue on page 15 that Yang teaches away from dividing up the input data.

14. The Examiner rejected "dividing up the input data" in the Non-final Office Action by Roussel; and the Examiner does not rejecting the limitation that is a teaching of Yang; therefore, the applicants' argument is moot.

15. The Applicants also argue on page 16 that Yang teaches away from performing the transform of key mixer on the first input data while simultaneously performing the transform of the mixer on a second input data, where the first and second input data is half the key value of inputted data.

16. First of all, the claims in the application do not include the limitation that states above. The best description in the claims that similar to it was in claim 10 "a plurality of the M/m-bit data can be processed through the plural steps selected among the four steps at the same time". It was rejected by Yang in view of Roussel. Please refer the rejection of the specific portion in claim 10 above. This amended limitation to claims 1, 3, 5, 9 and 11 was rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103 (a). Please refer to the rejection to those claims.

17. The Applicants also argue the motivation to combine the references of Yang and Roussel on page 16. The Applicants state "because Yang requires the key value (i.e. 128, 192, or 256) used in rijndael block cipher algorithm, one skilled in the art would not have been motivated to use the staggering execution of a single packed data instruction using the same circuit from Roussel where the key values would less that 128, 192, or 256 respectively".

18. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Firstly, the claims in the application do not state "the key values would less than 128, 192, or 526". All the Applicants stated about

the key values were "N-bit input key" in claims 1, 3, 5, and 11. It does not define "N" is less than 128, 192, 526. The staggering execution of data was known in the art for one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention; therefore, it is obvious to combine the teaching from Roussel to Yang to achieve dividing up the input data and process data simultaneously. Furthermore, Lee teaches the feature more explicitly. Please refer to the rejection on claims 1, 3, 5, 9, and 11.

19. The Applicants also argue that "Yang teaches away from performing different transforms on the two different inputted data having partial key values before the end of each round at the same time" on page 16.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument. Firstly, the limitation is not in claims of application. Secondly, the Examiner rejected "performing different transforms on the two different inputted having partial key values" by Roussel. Finally, Lee teaches the limitations explicitly. Please refer to the rejection on claims 1, 3, 5, 9, and 11.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to JING SIMS whose telephone number is (571)270-7315. The Examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm EST, Mon-Thu.

***Conclusion***

20. The Applicants amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jing Sims whose telephone number is (571) 270-7315. The examiner can be normally reached on 7:30am-5:00pm EST, Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Pham can be reached on (572)272-3689. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jing Sims 12/16/2008

/J.S./

/THOMAS K PHAM/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4148